PATENT COOPERATION TO

PCT

REC'D 13 MAY 2004

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Appli	cants	or age	nt's file reference		See Notification	n of Transmittal of International
P2725PCT-GN				FOR FURTHER ACTION		amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.				International filing date (day/mo	nth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JP 03/07534				13.06.2003		17.06.2002
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F19/00, G06F19/00					
GUE	19/)U, G	06F19/00			
L.	·					
Applicant BANNUL BUARMACEUTICAL CO. LTD. et el						
BANYU PHARMACEUTICAL CO.,LTD. et al.						
	This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining					
1.				nination report has been prep applicant according to Article		mational Preliminary Examining
		-				
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
	. 1.10			_		
		This	report is also accompar	nied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets pasis for this report and/or she	of the description	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority
		(see	Rule 70.16 and Section	607 of the Administrative Ins	tructions under t	the PCT).
	The	se anr	nexes consist of a total o	of sheets.		
	_					
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	lating to the following items:		~ · · ·
	<u>. </u>					
	I ⊠ Basis of the opinion II □ Priority			;		
	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			and industrial applicability		
ı	IV		Lack of unity of inventi	on		
1	٧	\boxtimes		inder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regions supporting such statemen		ventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application		
<u> </u>	VIII		Certain observations o	on the international application		
ļ						
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report						
Date of submission of the demand Date of complet				oi compietion of tr	iis report	
15.09.2003				12.0	5.2004	
Nam preli	e and ninary	mailing exami	address of the internation ning authority:	al Autho	rized Officer	of the Petrology
European Patent Office				libr	echt, M	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				56 epmu d	•	2200-7710
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7710						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP 03/07534

	Dania	of the	ronort
I.	Basis	or the	report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

٠.	Des	cription, Pages		26.00			
-	1-38		as originally filed				
	Seq	uence listings part o	of the description, Pages				61°, te
	1-23	i .	as originally filed				
	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	1-23		as originally filed				
	Drav	wings, Sheets					
	1/2-2	2/2	as originally filed				
2.	With lang	n regard to the langu a juage in which the inte	age, all the elements marked ernational application was file	above were availed, unless otherwis	able or furnished se indicated und	I to this Authoer this item.	ority in the
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Au	thority in the follow	ving language:	, which is:	
		the language of a tra	nslation furnished for the pur	poses of the inter	national search ((under Rule 2	23.1(b)).
		the language of publi	ication of the international ap	plication (under R	ule 48.3(b)).		·
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	nslation furnished for the pur 3).	rposes of internation	onal preliminary	examination	(under
3.	With inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary e	otide and/or amino acid se examination was carried out	quence disclosed on the basis of the	in the internation sequence listin	nal applicatio g:	n, the
٠	X	contained in the inter	rnational application in writte	n form.			•
•	X	filed together with the	e international application in	computer readable	e form.		
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written	form.			
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in compu	iter readable form	•		•
	X	The statement that to in the international a	he subsequently furnished w pplication as filed has been f	ritten sequence lis urnished.	iting does not go	beyond the	disclosure
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in co ished.	omputer readable	form is identical	to the written	sequence
4	. The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of	: :			
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/JP 03/07534

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, si been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			ne amendments had not been made, since they have led (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		(Any replacement sheet contain report.)	ning sı	uch amendm	ents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	Add	itional observations, if necessar	y:				
					ty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
1.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- byious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:					
		the entire international application,					
	×	claims Nos. 17,23					
		because:					
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter whice not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			ns Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does on (specify):				
		the description, claims or draw that no meaningful opinion cou	ings <i>(i</i> ıld be t	<i>indicate parti</i> formed <i>(spec</i>	cular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear cify):		
	×	the claims, or said claims Nos. opinion could be formed.	. 17 ar	e so inadequ	ately supported by the description that no meaningful		
	⊠	no international search report	has be	en establish	ed for the said claims Nos. 23		
 A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administructions: 			nnot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and nadard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative				
		the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form ha	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.		
V.	. Re	asoned statement under Artic ations and explanations supp	ele 35(orting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; nent		
1.	Sta	Statement					
	No	Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	1-8,13,16,18-21 9-12,14,15,22		
	Inv	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	8,21 1-7,9-16,18-20,22		
	Inc	lustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-16,18-22		
2	. Cit	ations and explanations					

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inte

Re item III:

Claim 17 suggests an antibody specific for a variant ABCG2 polypeptide, however such an antibody is not supported by the description (Art. 6 PC) which does not disclose this feature of the invention in a way sufficiently clear and complete for it to be carried out by the skilled person (Art. 5 PCT). Consequently, no opinion will be given on claim 17 with respect to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability.

Re item V:

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: NAKATOMI KATSUMI ET AL: 'Mutation and quantitative analysis of breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/MXR/ABCG2) gene in lung cancer cells and tumor tissues' PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH ANNUAL, vol. 43, March 2002 (2002-03), page 778 93rd Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research; San Francisco, California, USA; April 06-10, 2002, March, 2002
- D2: HONJO YASUMASA ET AL: 'Single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis in the ABC half-transporter MXR/BCRP/ABCP1/ABCG2' PROCEEDINGS OF THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH ANNUAL, vol. 43, March 2002 (2002-03), pages 778-779, 93rd Annual Meeting of the American Association for Cancer Research;San Francisco, California, USA; April 06-10, 2002, March, 2002
- D3: ALLEN JOHN D ET AL: 'A mutation hot spot in the Bcrp1 (Abcg2) multidrug transporter in mouse cell lines selected for doxorubicin resistance' CANCER RESEARCH, vol. 62, no. 8, 15 April 2002 (2002-04-15), pages 2294-2299,
- D4: DOYLE L A ET AL: 'A MULTIDRUG RESISTANCE TRANSPORTER FROM HUMAN MCF-7 BREAST CANCER CELLS' PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF USA, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCE. WASHINGTON, US, vol. 95, December 1998 (1998-12), pages 15665-15670, cited in the application
- D5: EP-A-1 323 735 (BANYU PHARMA CO LTD) 2 July 2003 (2003-07-02) which is the publication of the European patent application corresponding to WO 02 28894 A (BANYU PHARMA CO LTD ;HARA YOSHIKAZU (JP); KOMATANI HIDEYA (JP); KO) 11 April 2002 (2002-04-11) and whose content is therefore considered to be identical to the latter.
- D6: DATABASE EMBL [Online] EBI; 21 October 2001 (2001-10-21) NCI-MGC:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY InterEXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

- '603176769F1 NIH_MGC_121 Homo sapiens cDNA clone IMAG:5240944 5"
- D7: HONJO Y ET AL: 'Acquired mutations in the MXR/BCRP/ABCP gene alter substrate specificity in MXR/BCRP/ABCP-overexpressing cells' CANCER RESEARCH, AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR CANCER RESEARCH, BALTIMORE, MD, US, vol. 61, no. 18, 15 September 2001 (2001-09-15), pages 6635-6639
- D8: IMAI YASUO ET AL: 'C421A polymorphism in the human breast cancer resistance protein gene is associated with low expression of Q141K protein and low-level drug resistance' MOLECULAR CANCER THERAPEUTICS, vol. 1, no. 8, 17 June 2002 (2002-06-17), pages 611-616
- D9: 'IIDA A ET AL: 'Catalog of 605 single-nucleotide polymorphisms' (SNPs) among 13 genes encoding human ATP-binding cassette transporters: ABCA4, ABCA7, ABCA8, ABCD1, ABCD3, ABCD4, ABCE1, ABCF1, ABCG1, ABCG2, ABCG4, ABCG5 and ABCG8' JAPANESE JOURNAL OF HUMAN GENETICS, TOKYO, JP, vol. 47, no. 6, 28 June 2002 (2002-06-28), pages 285-310
- 1.1 D6 discloses a polynucleotide consisting of more than 10 contiguous nucleotides of SEQ ID No. 1 and comprising an A at a position corresponding to position 34 of the ABCG2 mRNA (cf whole document). Thus, the subject-matter of claims 9-11 lacks novelty over D6 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 1.2 D3 discloses a pair of PCR primers which are capable of amplifying the complete coding sequence of the Abcg2 gene including positions 34, 376 and 421 (p. 2295, c. 1, §2). Hence, the subject-matter of claim 12 lacks novelty over D3 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
 - The subject-matter of claim 12 also lacks novelty over D4 (p. 15665, c. 2, §3) and D7 (Example 4) (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 1.3 The polynucleotide of D6 (supra) is also considered to fall within the scope of claims 14 and 15, as it hybridises to the ABCG2 gene and is considered to be capable of detecting an A at position 34 of the ABCG2 mRNA, as well as of being used in one of the methods suggested by claim 15. Hence, D6 also takes away the novelty of claims 14 and 15 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
 - For the same considerations the polynucleotides (primers) disclosed in D3, D4 and D7 (supra) destroy the novelty of claims 14 and 15 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

1.4 The only technical feature of the kit according to claim 22 is the polynucleotide of any one of claims 9 to 11. Hence, D6 (supra) is also prejudicial to the novelty of claim 22 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

As an alternative embodiment of the kit according to claim 22 comprises only the pair of primers of claim 12, the subject-matter of claim 22 also lacks novelty over D3. D4 and D7 (supra).

- 1.5 The subject-matter of claims 1-8, 13, 16 and 18-21 is novel over the prior art which does not disclose the combination of features suggested by said claims (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 2.1 D1 discloses that tumour cell lines bearing an alteration at amino acid position 141 of the ABCG2 transporter protein are resistance to SN-38 (cf whole document). As SN-38 is a drug transported by ABCG2 and drug resistance is a means of determining the drug transport capability of ABCG2 also used in the present application, the skilled person in applying routine skills would, based on the teaching of D1 determine an alteration at amino acid position 141 of ABCG2 expressed by a cell line in order to determine its resistance to SN-38 i.e. the transport capability of ABCG2 for said drug. Hence, the subject-matter of claim 1 does not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

A similar conclusion would have to be drawn if the argumentation were started. from D3. D3 discloses an enhanced efflux of daunorubicin and rhodamine 123 from mouse tumour cell lines caused by mutations in codon 482 of the mouse Abcg2 gene which lead to a substitution of R to either M or S in the Abcg2 transporter protein (p. 2296, c. 1, §2 - p. 2297, c. 1, §1; Fig. 3). Hence, D3 demonstrates the effect of a mutation at position 482 of Abcg2 on its transport capability for drugs. It would thus appear to fall within the scope of customary practice of the skilled person to determine a mutation (polymorphism) at said codon of the Abcg2 gene or at the corresponding position of the Abcg2 protein in order to predict the transport capability for said drugs. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 appears to lack an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

As also D5 (Examples 10-14) and D7 (p. 6636, c. 2, §1 - p. 6639, c.1, §3; Fig. 1; Tab. 1) discloses an association of a mutation at said position of the human ABCG2 gene/protein with the transport capability for drugs, the same argumentation would apply, if it were started from the said documents (Art. 33(3))

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

PCT).

- 2.2 As elaborated under item 2.1 a method of determining the transport capability based on the ABCG2 polymorphism at amino acid position 141 is not considered to involve an inventive step in view of D1. In D1 tumour cell lines expressing said ABCG2 variant are used. Claim 19 differs from said closest prior art in that alternative cells are used. Substituting said cell lines by transformed cells expressing said ABCG2 variant requires nothing but routine experimentation and does not produce any unforeseeable effect and therefore does not involve an inventive activity (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.3 For the same considerations as under 2.1, the application of the teachings of D1 to the assessment of SN-38 resistance by analysing biological samples from a subject as suggested by claim 20 does not establish an inventive step, in particular as D1 already teaches the ongoing analysis of lung tumour tissues and other human cancer tissues with respect to the ABCG2 polymorphism at amino acid position 141 (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.4 D1 teaches a polymorphism of the ABCG2 polypeptide at position 141 which confers resistance to SN-38 (supra). D1 also teaches that said polymorphism is detected by direct sequencing of full length ABCG2 cDNA implying that the ABCG2 gene consisting of SEQ ID No. 1 was analysed at position 421. Hence, the additional feature of determining a polymorphism at position 421 as suggested by claim 2 is implicitly disclosed in D1 an does not establish an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.5 Based on the knowledge of the position of the ABCG2 polymorphism conferring SN-38 resistance (supra), the skilled person would in applying routine experimentation also arrive at the determination of the C421A polymorphism as suggested by claim 3 without the need of an inventive activity (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.6 As D1 teaches the involvement of position 141 of the ABCG2 protein in SN-38 resistance, this feature proposed by claim 5 is already known from D1 and does not establish an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.7 For similar considerations as under 2.5 the subject-matter of claim 6 is considered not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 2.8 The additional features suggested by claims 4 and 7 refer to routinely applied methodology which do not result in any unforeseeable technical effect and therefore do not establish an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.9 D2 discloses the G34A polymorphism of the ABCG2 gene as well as the corresponding V12M polymorphism of the ABCG2 protein (cf whole document). Starting from the teaching of D2 the isolation of a polypeptide according to claim 16 involves routine skills and does not result in any unforeseeable effect. Hence, claim 16 does not involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT). As D1 teaches a polymorphism at 141 of ABCG2 (supra) the same considerations would also apply if the argumentation were started from D1 as the closest prior art (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.10 Expression of the said protein in a transformed cell involves routine experimentation and does not produce any unexpected effect. Hence, claim 18 is considered not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.11 The pairs of PCR primers according to claim 13 are considered to represent a mere selection of equally likely alternatives the skilled person would select without the need of an inventive skill (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.12 The subject-matter of claim 8 differs from D1 representing the closest prior art in that the transport capability of a different compound is assessed. The technical problem lies in applying the teaching of D1 to a method of determining the transport capability of a different compound. None of the prior art documents suggests a compound according to claim 8 as being transported by ABCG2. Hence, the skilled person has no incentive to apply the teaching of D1 to a method of determining the transport capability of a compound according to claim 8. Claim 8 thus involves an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 2.13 The same considerations also apply to claim 21 (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 3. Industrial applicability of claims 1-16, 18-22 is acknowledged (Art. 33(4) PCT).
- 4.1 Claims 12, 14 and 15 do not meet the requirements of Art. 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of the result to be achieved ("specifically hybridise ... amplify ... the amplified DNA fragment comprises ...", "specifically

1

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/JP 03/07534 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

hybridises ... capable of ...", "capable of using ...") which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result should have been added.

- 4.2 The terms "drug transport capability" and "polymorphism" used in claim 1 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claim unclear (Art. 6 PCT). For the purpose of examination, the term "polymorphism" has been interpreted as also enclosing mutations and the term "drug transport capability" has been considered to refer inter alia to an altered resistance to drugs transported by ABCG2 as implicated by the analyses given in Example 3, namely Table 4 of the present application.
- 5. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)
 Should the priority of the present application not be valid, D8 and D9 would be relevant with respect to novelty and inventive step (Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT).